

**AAAS REPORT
XXVIII**

**RESEARCH AND
DEVELOPMENT
FY 2004**

Intersociety
Working
Group

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE
1200 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005

The AAAS Board of Directors, in accordance with Association policy, has approved publication of this report as a contribution to the understanding of an important process. The interpretations and conclusions are those of the authors and do not purport to represent the views of the Board or the Council of the Association.

Printed in the United States of America

AAAS Publication Number 03-2B

Copyright © 2003 by the
American Association for the Advancement of Science
1200 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005

Printed on recycled, acid-free paper.

Intersociety Working Group

(see the Directory at the end of this report for contact information)

American Association for the Advancement of Science
American Astronomical Society
American Chemical Society
American Educational Research Association
American Geological Institute
American Geophysical Union
American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics
American Institute of Biological Sciences
American Institute of Physics
American Mathematical Society
American Physical Society
American Psychological Association
American Society of Mechanical Engineers International
Association of American Medical Colleges
Association of American Universities
Computing Research Association
Consortium for Oceanographic Research and Education
Consortium of Social Science Associations
Council of Professional Associations on Federal Statistics
Ecological Society of America
Industrial Research Institute
Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges

Contents

List of Tables	viii
Preface	x
Committee on Science, Engineering and Public Policy	xiii
General Notes	xiv

PART I: OVERVIEW

Highlights	3	
Chapter 1	An Introduction to R&D in the FY 2004 Budget	5
	<i>Albert H. Teich and Kei Koizumi, AAAS</i>	
Chapter 2	Political and Policy Context for the FY 2004 Budget	15
	<i>Paul W. Turner, AAAS</i>	
Chapter 3	R&D Trends and Special Analyses	23
	<i>Kei Koizumi, AAAS</i>	
Chapter 4	R&D and Innovation in Industry	31
	<i>F.M. Ross Armbrrecht, Jr., IRI</i>	
Chapter 5	Education and Human Resources in the FY 2004 Budget	39
	<i>Jolene Kay Jesse, AAAS</i>	
Overview Tables	49	

PART II: AGENCY R&D BUDGETS

Chapter 6	R&D in the FY 2004 Department of Defense Budget	69
	<i>Kei Koizumi, AAAS</i>	

Contents

Chapter 7	National Science Foundation in the FY 2004 Budget <i>Tobin L. Smith, AAU</i>	77
Chapter 8	National Institutes of Health in the FY 2004 Budget <i>Jonathan Fishburn, AAMC</i>	85
Chapter 9	The Department of Energy in the FY 2004 Budget <i>Michael S. Lubell, APS</i>	93
Chapter 10	NASA R&D in the FY 2004 Budget <i>Michael Beavin, AIAA</i>	101
Chapter 11	R&D in the Department of Agriculture <i>Elizabeth Allred and Mortimer Neufville, NASULGC</i>	113
Chapter 12	R&D in the Department of Homeland Security <i>Kei Koizumi, Joanne Carney, and David Cooper, AAAS</i>	119
Chapter 13	R&D in Selected Agencies <i>Paul W. Turner, AAAS</i>	127
Agency Tables		135
PART III: DISCIPLINARY ANALYSES		
Chapter 14	Physics in the FY 2004 Budget <i>Richard M. Jones and Audrey T. Leath, AIP</i>	171
Chapter 15	Astronomy in the FY 2004 Budget <i>Kevin B. Marvel and Nadia Afrin, AAS</i>	177
Chapter 16	Atmospheric Sciences and Climate Change Programs in the FY 2004 Budget <i>Eugene W. Bierly and H. Frank Eden, AGU</i>	185

Contents

Chapter 17	Ocean Sciences in the Fiscal Year 2004 Budget <i>Jennie Kopelson, CORE</i>	195
Chapter 18	Earth Sciences in the FY 2004 Budget <i>Margaret A. Baker and David Applegate, AGI</i>	203
Chapter 19	Biological and Ecological Sciences in the FY 2004 Budget <i>Nadine Lymn, ESA; and Adrienne Froelich, AIBS</i>	209
Chapter 20	Chemical Sciences in the FY 2004 Budget <i>Laura M. Gerum, Anthony Pitagno, Bradley R. Smith, Caroline Trupp Gil, ACS</i>	215
Chapter 21	Behavioral and Social Science Research in the Administration's FY 2004 Budget <i>Patricia Kobor, APA; Angela Sharpe and Howard Silver, COSSA; and Sandi Wurtz, AERA</i>	221
Chapter 22	Federal Statistics in the FY 2004 Budget <i>Edward J. Spar, COPAFS</i>	229
Chapter 23	Mathematical Sciences in the FY 2004 Budget <i>Samuel M. Rankin, III, AMS</i>	239
Chapter 24	Computing Research in the FY 2004 Budget Request <i>Peter Harsha, CRA</i>	245
Chapter 25	National Nanotechnology Investment in the FY 2004 Budget Request <i>M.C. Roco, ASME International</i>	255
Chapter 26	Electrotechnology-Related Research in the FY 2004 Budget <i>Ron Hira, Russell Lefevre, Bill Williams, and Chris Brantley, IEEE-USA</i>	265

Contents

Chapter 27	Mechanical Engineering in the FY 2004 Budget <i>Michael Reischman, ASME International</i>	275
	Appendix 1: Methodology and Data Sources	287
	Appendix 2: Definitions	289
	Appendix 3: Related Publications	291
	Intersociety Working Group Directory	292

List of Tables

Overview Tables

Table I-1.	R&D in the FY 2004 Budget by Agency	51
Table I-2.	Distribution of the FY 2004 Budget	52
Table I-3.	Historical Trends in R&D and Federal Outlays	53
Table I-4.	Major Functional Categories of R&D	54
Table I-5.	R&D by Character of Work	55
Table I-6.	Defense and Nondefense R&D by Character of Work	56
Table I-7.	“FS&T” Budget by Agency	57
Table I-8.	AAAS Analysis of the Outyear Projections for R&D in the FY 2004 Budget, FY 2003-2008	58
Table I-9.	R&D Funding by Congressional Appropriations Subcommittee	59
Table I-10.	Interagency Science and Technology Initiatives	60
Table I-11.	Total U.S. R&D, 2000-2002	61
Table I-12.	National Patterns of R&D: Intersectoral Transfers and Constant Dollar Changes, 2002	62
Table I-13.	National Funds for R&D by Source of Funds	63
Table I-14.	National Funds for R&D by Performers	64
Table I-15.	Historical Tables: Federal R&D by Agency, FY 1993-2004	65

Agency Tables

Table II-1.	R&D in the FY 2004 Budget by Agency and Character of Work	137
Table II-2.	R&D in the Department of Defense	144
Table II-3.	DOD R&D by Military Departments and Agencies	145
Table II-4.	Department of Defense R&D by Character of Work	146
Table II-5.	Department of Defense Basic Research (“6.1”)	147
Table II-6.	Department of Defense “S&T” (“6.1” – “6.3”)	148
Table II-7.	R&D in the National Science Foundation	149
Table II-8.	R&D in the Department of Health and Human Services	152
Table II-9.	R&D in the National Institutes of Health, by Institute	153
Table II-10.	National Institutes of Health by Funding Mechanism	154
Table II-11.	R&D in the Department of Energy	155
Table II-12.	R&D in the National Aeronautics and Space Administration	159
Table II-13.	R&D in the U.S. Department of Agriculture	161
Table II-14.	R&D in the Department of Commerce	162
Table II-15.	R&D in the Department of Transportation	163
Table II-16.	R&D in the Department of the Interior	164
Table II-17.	R&D in the Environmental Protection Agency	165
Table II-18.	R&D in the Department of Education	166
Table II-19.	R&D in the Department of Veterans Affairs	167
Table II-20.	R&D in the Department of Homeland Security	168

Note: Tables within chapters are not included in this list.

Preface

Scientific research and development (R&D) continue to be of vital importance to the United States in the 21st century. The federal government supports a significant proportion of the nation's R&D, and its policies profoundly affect the institutions in which this work is carried out. The President's annual budget submission and the congressional debate that ensues are the mechanisms through which policies and priorities for R&D are set. Since 1976, AAAS has published an annual report analyzing R&D in the proposed federal budget in order to make available to the scientific and engineering communities and to policymakers timely and objective information about the Administration's plans for the coming fiscal year.

This year marks the 28th in the series of AAAS R&D Reports. The effort was begun in 1976 in-house at AAAS by Willis H. Shapley, under the auspices of the Committee on Science, Engineering and Public Policy. Shortly thereafter, it became a collaborative effort, and it now involves contributors from 23 scientific, engineering, higher education, and industrial associations known collectively as the Intersociety Working Group (see the Directory at the end of this report for contact information for each association). This volume is one of several publications and activities of the AAAS R&D Budget and Policy Program. In addition to functioning as a stand-alone document, the report serves as background for the 28th Annual AAAS Colloquium on Science and Technology Policy, held in Washington, DC (April 10-11, 2003).

The second item in the R&D Program's annual series of three publications is the *AAAS Science and Technology Policy Yearbook*. This book contains most of the major Colloquium addresses, as well as both original and previously published articles by leading figures in science and technology policy.

The third publication appears after Congress has completed its appropriations process. At that time, AAAS, in collaboration with the Intersociety Working Group, publishes its annual review of the impact of congressional decisions on R&D, *Congressional Action on Research and Development in the FY 2004 Budget*. These publications are supplemented by R&D Funding Updates on the AAAS R&D Web site

(www.aaas.org/spp/rd), which provide regularly updated information on R&D in the budget.

The overall structure of this report parallels that of recent editions. Part I, the overview, includes discussions of R&D's place in the federal budget, the political context of FY 2004 R&D proposals, analysis of major funding trends for FY 2004 including outyear projections for R&D, and analyses of funding for research. Chapters on R&D in industry and funding for science, engineering, and mathematics education are also included in Part I. Although neither of these latter topics is concerned strictly with R&D in the federal budget, each deals with closely related funding and policy matters that help define the context within which federal R&D is discussed and debated. A set of overview tables appears at the end of this section.

The chapters in Part II examine the proposed R&D budgets of major federal agencies and departments. Tables detailing those budgets and the budgets of several smaller agencies and departments not featured in the chapters are included at the end of Part II. Finally, Part III consists of a set of cross-cutting analyses that look at the budget in terms of disciplines and areas of research.

Readers should be aware that the chapters in this report have been prepared largely independently of one another and under extremely tight deadline pressure. Although every effort has been made to assure a high quality product, some overlap and inconsistencies among the chapters are, unfortunately, inevitable.

On behalf of the members of the Intersociety Working Group, we would like to express our appreciation to the officers, members, and staffs of the participating organizations for their support and assistance in preparing this report. Our thanks also to the AAAS Committee on Science, Engineering and Public Policy, which initiated the R&D Program and periodically reviews it and provides guidance to it. We are very grateful to individuals in the Office of Management and Budget, other federal agencies, on congressional staffs, and elsewhere who aided us in collecting the information and advised us on its interpretation.

Kei Koizumi
Paul W. Turner
April, 2003

Committee on Science, Engineering and Public Policy

Susan E. Cozzens (2004)
Chair
Georgia Institute of Technology

Reid G. Adler (2004)
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Jane Alexander (2004)
Office of Naval Research

Braden R. Allenby (2006)
AT&T

Richard N. L. Andrews (2003)
University of North Carolina
Chapel Hill

Robert E. Barnhill (2005)
University of Kansas

Radford Byerly, Jr. (2004)
Boulder, Colorado

Richard Florida (2003)
Carnegie Mellon University

Susan Hackwood (2006)
California Council on Science and
Technology

Mae C. Jemison (2005)
The Jemison Group, Inc.

David C. Mowery (2003)
University of California-Berkeley

Per Pinstrup-Andersen (2006)
International Food Policy Research
Institute

Robert J. Spinrad (2005)
Palo Alto, CA

Skip Stiles (2004)
Genetic Resources
Communications Systems, Inc.

Michael L. Telson (2004)
Washington, DC

Floyd E. Bloom
(Board Representative)
Scripps Research Institute

Lydia Villa-Komaroff
(Board Representative)
Northwestern University

Alan I. Leshner
(Ex Officio) AAAS

Stephen D. Nelson
(Staff Officer) AAAS

*Terms expire on last day of Annual Meeting in year shown.

General Notes

BASIS OF BUDGET STATISTICS

Budget statistics are presented on three bases: (1) **budget authority**, corresponding to the funds appropriated each year; (2) **obligations**, indicating the amounts of contracts and grants entered into; and (3) **outlays**, representing the amounts actually expended (see Appendix 2: Definitions). Because budget decisions in the Executive Branch and in Congress are almost always made in budget authority, this metric most accurately reflects current changes in budget policies. Outlay trends lag a year or more behind decisions in budget authority, and obligations estimates are often adjusted to meet fiscal or budget strategy demands. We have, therefore, selected budget authority as the most meaningful measure of budget decisions and trends and used it in nearly all cases throughout the report.

BASIC RESEARCH, APPLIED RESEARCH, AND DEVELOPMENT

The allocation of agency budgets among basic research, applied research, and development is not an exact procedure; a certain arbitrariness is inevitably involved (see Appendix 2: Definitions). The severe time pressures under which these figures are compiled for OMB are also a problem. Nevertheless, there is presumably some consistency within each agency's estimates so that the trends are meaningful.

ROUNDING NUMBERS

Due to rounding in the tables, the detail may not add to the totals, and the percentage changes may not correspond exactly to the difference shown. Most figures are rounded to the nearest million; totals and percentage changes are calculated from unrounded figures. In the Overview and Agency Tables, subtotals are occasionally provided for additional detail. These subtotals are shown in italics to indicate that they do not add into the totals.

Please see Appendix 1: Methodology and Data Sources for more information.