

**AAAS REPORT  
XXIX**

**RESEARCH AND  
DEVELOPMENT  
FY 2005**

Intersociety  
Working  
Group

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE  
1200 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005

The AAAS Board of Directors, in accordance with Association policy, has approved publication of this report as a contribution to the understanding of an important process. The interpretations and conclusions are those of the authors and do not purport to represent the views of the Board or the Council of the Association.

Printed in the United States of America

AAAS Publication Number 04-1A

Copyright © 2004 by the  
American Association for the Advancement of Science  
1200 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005

*Printed on recycled, acid-free paper.*

# Intersociety Working Group

(see the Directory at the end of this report for contact information)

American Association for the Advancement of Science  
American Astronomical Society  
American Chemical Society  
American Educational Research Association  
American Geological Institute  
American Geophysical Union  
American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics  
American Institute of Biological Sciences  
American Institute of Physics  
American Mathematical Society  
American Physical Society  
American Psychological Association  
American Society of Mechanical Engineers International  
Association of American Medical Colleges  
Association of American Universities  
Computing Research Association  
Consortium for Oceanographic Research and Education  
Consortium of Social Science Associations  
Council of Professional Associations on Federal Statistics  
Ecological Society of America  
Industrial Research Institute  
Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers  
National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges

# Contents

List of Tables	viii
Preface	x
Committee on Science, Engineering and Public Policy	xiii
General Notes	xiv

## **PART I: OVERVIEW**

Highlights	3
Chapter 1	<b>An Introduction to R&amp;D in the FY 2005 Budget</b> 5
	<i>Albert H. Teich and Kei Koizumi, AAAS</i>
Chapter 2	<b>Political and Policy Context for the FY 2005 Budget</b> 15
	<i>Joanne Carney, AAAS</i>
Chapter 3	<b>R&amp;D Trends and Special Analyses</b> 21
	<i>Kei Koizumi, AAAS</i>
Chapter 4	<b>R&amp;D and Innovation in Industry</b> 29
	<i>F.M. Ross Armbrrecht, Jr., IRI</i>
Chapter 5	<b>Education and Human Resources in the FY 2005 Budget</b> 37
	<i>Jolene Kay Jesse, AAAS</i>
Overview Tables	49

## **PART II: AGENCY R&D BUDGETS**

Chapter 6	<b>R&amp;D in the FY 2005 Department of Defense Budget</b> 71
	<i>Kei Koizumi, AAAS</i>

## Contents

Chapter 7	<b>National Science Foundation in the FY 2005 Budget</b> <i>Tobin L. Smith and Katherine Bailey Mathae, AAU</i>	79
Chapter 8	<b>National Institutes of Health in the FY 2005 Budget</b> <i>Jonathan Fishburn, AAMC</i>	89
Chapter 9	<b>The Department of Energy in the FY 2005 Budget</b> <i>Michael S. Lubell, APS</i>	95
Chapter 10	<b>NASA R&amp;D in the FY 2005 Budget</b> <i>Corinne M. Contant, AIAA</i>	103
Chapter 11	<b>R&amp;D in the Department of Agriculture</b> <i>Elizabeth Allred, Eddie Gouge, and Mortimer Neufville, NASULGC</i>	113
Chapter 12	<b>R&amp;D in the Department of Homeland Security</b> <i>Kei Koizumi, AAAS</i>	119
Chapter 13	<b>R&amp;D in Selected Agencies</b> <i>Kei Koizumi, AAAS</i>	127
Agency Tables		135
<b>PART III: DISCIPLINARY ANALYSES</b>		
Chapter 14	<b>Physics in the FY 2005 Budget</b> <i>Richard M. Jones and Audrey T. Leath, AIP</i>	171
Chapter 15	<b>Astronomy in the FY 2005 Budget</b> <i>Kevin B. Marvel and Stamatia Soumela, AAS</i>	177
Chapter 16	<b>Atmospheric Sciences and Climate Change Programs in the FY 2005 Budget</b> <i>Eugene W. Bierly and H. Frank Eden, AGU</i>	185

Contents

Chapter 17	<b>Ocean Sciences in the Fiscal Year 2005 Budget</b> <i>Jennie Kopelson, CORE</i>	195
Chapter 18	<b>Earth Sciences in the FY 2005 Budget</b> <i>Emily M. Lehr and Gayle M. Levy, AGI</i>	203
Chapter 19	<b>Biological and Ecological Sciences in the FY 2005 Budget</b> <i>Adrienne Froelich, AIBS; and Nadine Lymn, ESA</i>	209
Chapter 20	<b>Chemical Sciences in the FY 2005 Budget</b> <i>J. Carl Maxwell, Anthony Pitagno, and Caroline Trupp Gil, ACS</i>	215
Chapter 21	<b>Behavioral and Social Science in the Administration's FY 2005 Budget</b> <i>Heather Kelly and Patricia Kobor, APA; Howard Silver, COSSA; and Sandi Wurtz, AERA</i>	221
Chapter 22	<b>Federal Statistics in the FY 2005 Budget</b> <i>Edward J. Spar, COPAFS</i>	229
Chapter 23	<b>Mathematical Sciences in the FY 2005 Budget</b> <i>Samuel M. Rankin, III, AMS</i>	239
Chapter 24	<b>Computing Research in the FY 2005 Budget Request</b> <i>Peter Harsha, CRA</i>	245
Chapter 25	<b>National Nanotechnology Investment in the FY 2005 Budget Request</b> <i>M.C. Roco, ASME International</i>	255
Chapter 26	<b>Electrotechnology-Related Research in the FY 2005 Budget</b> <i>Russell Lefevre and Bill Williams, IEEE-USA</i>	265
Chapter 27	<b>Mechanical Engineering in the FY 2005 Budget</b> <i>Michael Reischman, ASME International</i>	275

Contents

<b>Appendix 1: Methodology and Data Sources</b>	287
<b>Appendix 2: Definitions</b>	289
<b>Appendix 3: Related Publications</b>	291
<b>Intersociety Working Group Directory</b>	292

# List of Tables

## Overview Tables

Table I-1.	R&D in the FY 2005 Budget by Agency	51
Table I-2.	Distribution of the FY 2005 Budget	52
Table I-3.	Historical Trends in R&D and Federal Outlays	53
Table I-4.	Major Functional Categories of R&D	54
Table I-5.	R&D by Character of Work	55
Table I-6.	Defense and Nondefense R&D by Character of Work	56
Table I-7.	“FS&T” Budget by Agency	57
Table I-8.	R&D at Colleges and Universities	58
Table I-9.	R&D Funding by Congressional Appropriations Subcommittee	59
Table I-10.	Interagency Science and Technology Initiatives	60
Table I-11.	Total U.S. R&D, 2001-2003	61
Table I-12.	National Patterns of R&D: Intersectoral Transfers and Constant Dollar Changes, 2003	62
Table I-13.	National Funds for R&D by Source of Funds	63
Table I-14.	National Funds for R&D by Performers	64
Table I-15.	AAAS Analysis of the Outyear Projections for R&D in the Federal Budget, FY 2004-2009	65
Table I-16.	Historical Tables: Federal R&D by Agency, FY 1994-2005	66
Table I-17.	Federal Homeland Security R&D by Agency	68

## Agency Tables

Table II-1.	R&D in the FY 2005 Budget by Agency and Character of Work	137
Table II-2.	R&D in the Department of Defense	144
Table II-3.	DOD R&D by Military Departments and Agencies	145
Table II-4.	Department of Defense R&D by Character of Work	146
Table II-5.	Department of Defense Basic Research (“6.1”)	147
Table II-6.	Department of Defense “S&T” (“6.1” – “6.3”)	148
Table II-7.	R&D in the National Science Foundation	149
Table II-8.	R&D in the Department of Health and Human Services	152
Table II-9.	R&D in the National Institutes of Health, by Institute	153
Table II-10.	National Institutes of Health by Funding Mechanism	154
Table II-11.	R&D in the Department of Energy	155
Table II-12.	R&D in the National Aeronautics and Space Administration	159
Table II-13.	R&D in the U.S. Department of Agriculture	161
Table II-14.	R&D in the Department of Commerce	162
Table II-15.	R&D in the Department of Transportation	163
Table II-16.	R&D in the Department of the Interior	164
Table II-17.	R&D in the Environmental Protection Agency	165
Table II-18.	R&D in the Department of Education	166
Table II-19.	R&D in the Department of Veterans Affairs	167
Table II-20.	R&D in the Department of Homeland Security	168

**Note: Tables within chapters are not included in this list.**

# Preface

Scientific research and development (R&D) continue to be of vital importance to the United States in the 21st century. The federal government supports a significant proportion of the nation's R&D, and its policies profoundly affect the institutions in which this work is carried out. The President's annual budget submission and the congressional debate that ensues are the mechanisms through which policies and priorities for R&D are set. Since 1976, AAAS has published an annual report analyzing R&D in the proposed federal budget in order to make available to the scientific and engineering communities and to policymakers timely and objective information about the Administration's plans for the coming fiscal year.

This year marks the 29th in the series of AAAS R&D Reports. The effort was begun in 1976 in-house at AAAS by Willis H. Shapley, under the auspices of the Committee on Science, Engineering and Public Policy. Shortly thereafter, it became a collaborative effort, and it now involves contributors from 23 scientific, engineering, higher education, and industrial associations known collectively as the Intersociety Working Group (see the Directory at the end of this report for contact information for each association). This volume is one of several publications and activities of the AAAS R&D Budget and Policy Program. In addition to functioning as a stand-alone document, the report serves as background for the 29th Annual AAAS Science and Technology Policy Forum, held in Washington, DC (April 22-23, 2004).

The second publication appears after Congress has completed its appropriations process. At that time, AAAS, in collaboration with the Intersociety Working Group, publishes its annual review of the impact of congressional decisions on R&D, *Congressional Action on Research and Development in the FY 2005 Budget*. These publications are supplemented by R&D Funding Updates on the AAAS R&D Web site ([www.aaas.org/spp/rd](http://www.aaas.org/spp/rd)), which provide regularly updated information on R&D in the budget.

The overall structure of this report parallels that of recent editions. Part I, the overview, includes discussions of R&D's place in the federal budget, the political context of FY 2005 R&D proposals, analysis of

major funding trends for FY 2005 including outyear projections for R&D, and analyses of funding for research. Chapters on R&D in industry and funding for science, engineering, and mathematics education are also included in Part I. Although neither of these latter topics is concerned strictly with R&D in the federal budget, each deals with closely related funding and policy matters that help define the context within which federal R&D is discussed and debated. A set of overview tables appears at the end of this section.

The chapters in Part II examine the proposed R&D budgets of major federal agencies and departments. Tables detailing those budgets and the budgets of several smaller agencies and departments not featured in the chapters are included at the end of Part II. Finally, Part III consists of a set of cross-cutting analyses that look at the budget in terms of disciplines and areas of research.

Readers should be aware that the chapters in this report have been prepared largely independently of one another and under extremely tight deadline pressure. Although every effort has been made to assure a high quality product, some overlap and inconsistencies among the chapters are, unfortunately, inevitable.

On behalf of the members of the Intersociety Working Group, we would like to express our appreciation to the officers, members, and staffs of the participating organizations for their support and assistance in preparing this report. Our thanks also to the AAAS Committee on Science, Engineering and Public Policy, which initiated the R&D Program and periodically reviews it and provides guidance to it. We are very grateful to individuals in the Office of Management and Budget, other federal agencies, on congressional staffs, and elsewhere who aided us in collecting the information and advised us on its interpretation.

Kei Koizumi  
April, 2004



# General Notes

## **BASIS OF BUDGET STATISTICS**

Budget statistics are presented on three bases: (1) **budget authority**, corresponding to the funds appropriated each year; (2) **obligations**, indicating the amounts of contracts and grants entered into; and (3) **outlays**, representing the amounts actually expended (see Appendix 2: Definitions). Because budget decisions in the Executive Branch and in Congress are almost always made in budget authority, this metric most accurately reflects current changes in budget policies. Outlay trends lag a year or more behind decisions in budget authority, and obligations estimates are often adjusted to meet fiscal or budget strategy demands. We have, therefore, selected budget authority as the most meaningful measure of budget decisions and trends and used it in nearly all cases throughout the report.

## **BASIC RESEARCH, APPLIED RESEARCH, AND DEVELOPMENT**

The allocation of agency budgets among basic research, applied research, and development is not an exact procedure; a certain arbitrariness is inevitably involved (see Appendix 2: Definitions). The severe time pressures under which these figures are compiled for OMB are also a problem. Nevertheless, there is presumably some consistency within each agency's estimates so that the trends are meaningful.

## **ROUNDING NUMBERS**

Due to rounding in the tables, the detail may not add to the totals, and the percentage changes may not correspond exactly to the difference shown. Most figures are rounded to the nearest million; totals and percentage changes are calculated from unrounded figures. In the Overview and Agency Tables, subtotals are occasionally provided for additional detail. These subtotals are shown in italics to indicate that they do not add into the totals.

Please see Appendix 1: Methodology and Data Sources for more information.



# **Part I: Overview**

# **Overview Tables**

## **Part II: Agency R&D Budgets**

# **Agency Tables**

# **Part III: Disciplinary Analyses**

# **Appendices**