

**AAAS REPORT  
XXV**

**RESEARCH AND  
DEVELOPMENT  
FY 2001**

Intersociety  
Working  
Group

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE  
1200 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005

The AAAS Board of Directors, in accordance with Association policy, has approved publication of this report as a contribution to the understanding of an important process. The interpretations and conclusions are those of the authors and do not purport to represent the views of the Board or the Council of the Association.

Printed in the United States of America

International Standard Serial Number 1041-8857  
AAAS Publication Number 00-02S

Copyright © 2000 by the  
American Association for the Advancement of Science  
1200 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005

Cover design by Jennifer Anne Kolansky

*Printed on recycled, acid-free paper.*

# Intersociety Working Group

(see the Directory at the end of this report for contact information)

American Association for the Advancement of Science  
American Astronomical Society  
American Chemical Society  
American Educational Research Association  
American Geological Institute  
American Geophysical Union  
American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics  
American Institute of Physics  
American Meteorological Society  
American Physical Society  
American Psychological Association  
American Society of Mechanical Engineers  
Association of American Universities  
Computing Research Association  
Council of Professional Associations on Federal Statistics  
Ecological Society of America  
Federation of Behavioral, Psychological, and Cognitive Sciences  
Industrial Research Institute  
Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers  
Joint Policy Board for Mathematics  
National Academy of Sciences / National Academy of  
Engineering / Institute of Medicine  
National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges

(This page is intentionally blank)

(This page is intentionally blank)

# Contents

List of Tables	ix
Preface	xi
Committee on Science, Engineering and Public Policy	xv
General Notes	xvi

## **PART I: OVERVIEW**

Highlights	3	
Chapter 1	<b>R&amp;D in the Federal Budget: Frequently Asked Questions</b>	5
	<i>Albert H. Teich, AAAS</i>	
Chapter 2	<b>25 Years of the AAAS Report: Historical Perspectives of R&amp;D in the Federal Budget</b>	15
	<i>Kei Koizumi, AAAS</i>	
Chapter 3	<b>Political and Policy Context for the FY 2001 Budget</b>	25
	<i>Stephen D. Nelson and Joanne P. Carney, AAAS</i>	
Chapter 4	<b>R&amp;D Trends and Special Analyses</b>	33
	<i>Kei Koizumi, AAAS</i>	
Chapter 5	<b>R&amp;D in Industry</b>	43
	<i>Charles F. Larson, IRI</i>	
Chapter 6	<b>Education and Human Resources in the FY 2001 Budget</b>	49
	<i>Virginia Van Horne, AAAS</i>	
Chapter 7	<b>Observations on the President's FY 2001 Federal Science and Technology Budget</b>	59
	<i>Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy, NAS / NAE / IOM</i>	

## Contents

Overview Tables		69
<b>PART II: AGENCY R&amp;D BUDGETS</b>		
Chapter 8	<b>R&amp;D in the FY 2001 Department of Defense Budget</b> <i>Kei Koizumi, AAAS</i>	91
Chapter 9	<b>National Science Foundation in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>George L. Leventhal, AAU</i>	99
Chapter 10	<b>National Institutes of Health in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>Kei Koizumi, AAAS</i>	107
Chapter 11	<b>The Department of Energy in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>Michael S. Lubell, APS</i>	113
Chapter 12	<b>NASA R&amp;D in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>Paul Looney, AIAA</i>	123
Chapter 13	<b>R&amp;D in the Department of Agriculture</b> <i>Elizabeth Allred and Mortimer Neufville, NASULGC</i>	131
Chapter 14	<b>R&amp;D in Selected Agencies</b> <i>Kei Koizumi, AAAS</i>	137
Agency Tables		143
<b>PART III: DISCIPLINARY ANALYSES</b>		
Chapter 15	<b>Physics in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>Richard M. Jones and Audrey T. Leath, AIP</i>	179

Contents

Chapter 16	<b>Astronomy in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>Kevin B. Marvel, AAS</i>	185
Chapter 17	<b>Atmospheric and Ocean Sciences in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>Eugene W. Bierly, AGU; H. Frank Eden, AMS</i>	191
Chapter 18	<b>Earth Sciences in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>David Applegate and Margaret Baker, AGI</i>	199
Chapter 19	<b>Life Sciences in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>Nadine Lymn and Alison Gillespie, ESA</i>	205
Chapter 20	<b>Chemical Sciences in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>David L. Schutt, Bradley R. Smith, and Caroline Trupp Gil, ACS</i>	211
Chapter 21	<b>Behavioral and Social Sciences Research in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>Patricia Kobor, APA; Sandi Wurtz, AERA; and David Johnson, FBPCS</i>	217
Chapter 22	<b>Federal Statistics in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>Edward J. Spar, COPAFS</i>	223
Chapter 23	<b>Mathematical Sciences in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>Daniel Goroff, JPBM</i>	231
Chapter 24	<b>Computing Research in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>Lisa Thompson, CRA</i>	237
Chapter 25	<b>Electrotechnology-Related Research in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>Raymond Paul, IEEE-USA</i>	243
Chapter 26	<b>Mechanical Engineering in the FY 2001 Budget</b> <i>Michael Reischman, ASME International</i>	249

Contents

<b>Appendix 1: Methodology and Data Sources</b>	259
<b>Appendix 2: Definitions</b>	261
<b>Appendix 3: Related Publications</b>	263
<b>Intersociety Working Group Directory</b>	264

# List of Tables

## Overview Tables

Table I-1.	R&D in the FY 2001 Budget by Agency	71
Table I-2.	Distribution of the FY 2001 Budget	72
Table I-3.	Historical Trends in R&D and Federal Outlays	73
Table I-4.	Major Functional Categories of R&D	74
Table I-5.	R&D by Character of Work	75
Table I-6.	Defense and Nondefense R&D by Character of Work	76
Table I-7.	Federal Support for Conduct of R&D at Colleges and Universities	77
Table I-8.	R&D Expenditures at Colleges and Universities	78
Table I-9.	R&D Funding by Congressional Appropriations Subcommittee	79
Table I-10.	Interagency Science and Technology Initiatives	80
Table I-11.	Total U.S. R&D, 1997-1999	81
Table I-12.	National Patterns of R&D, 1999	82
Table I-13.	National Funds for R&D by Source of Funds	83
Table I-14.	National Funds for R&D by Performers	84
Table I-15.	AAAS Analysis of the Outyear Projections for R&D in the FY 2001 Budget, FY 2000-2005	85
Table I-16.	Historical Tables: Federal R&D by Agency, FY 1989-2001	86
Table I-17.	"21st Century Research Fund"	88

## List of Tables

### Agency Tables

Table II-1.	R&D in the FY 2001 Budget by Agency and Character of Work	145
Table II-2.	R&D in the Department of Defense	152
Table II-3.	DOD R&D by Military Departments and Agencies	153
Table II-4.	Department of Defense R&D by Character of Work	154
Table II-5.	Department of Defense Funding for Basic Research (“6.1”)	155
Table II-6.	Trends in Department of Defense R&D, FY 1965–FY 2001	156
Table II-7.	R&D in the National Science Foundation	157
Table II-8.	R&D in the Department of Health and Human Services	160
Table II-9.	R&D in the National Institutes of Health, by Institute	161
Table II-10.	National Institutes of Health by Funding Mechanism	162
Table II-11.	R&D in the Department of Energy	163
Table II-12.	R&D in the National Aeronautics and Space Administration	167
Table II-13.	R&D in the U.S. Department of Agriculture	171
Table II-14.	R&D in the Department of Commerce	172
Table II-15.	R&D in the Department of Transportation	173
Table II-16.	R&D in the Department of the Interior	174
Table II-17.	R&D in the Environmental Protection Agency	175
Table II-18.	R&D in the Department of Education	176

**Note: Tables within chapters are not included in this list.**

# Preface

Scientific research and development (R&D) continue to be of vital importance to the United States in the 21st century. The federal government supports a significant proportion of the nation's R&D, and its policies profoundly affect the institutions in which this work is carried out. The President's annual budget submission and the congressional debate that ensues are the mechanisms through which policies and priorities for R&D are set. Since 1976, AAAS has published an annual report analyzing R&D in the proposed federal budget in order to make available to the scientific and engineering communities and to policymakers timely and objective information about the Administration's plans for the coming fiscal year.

This year marks the 25th in the series of AAAS R&D Reports, an occasion we commemorate with a special chapter (Chapter 2) on historical trends in federal R&D over the past 25 years. The effort was begun in 1976 in-house at AAAS by Willis H. Shapley, under the auspices of the Committee on Science, Engineering and Public Policy. Shortly thereafter, it became a collaborative effort, and it now involves contributors from 22 scientific, engineering, higher education, and industrial associations known collectively as the Intersociety Working Group (see the Directory at the end of this report for contact information for each association). This volume is one of several publications and activities of the AAAS R&D Budget and Policy Program. In addition to functioning as a stand-alone document, the report serves as background for the 25th Anniversary AAAS Colloquium on Science and Technology Policy, held in Washington, DC (April 11-13, 2000).

The second item in the R&D Program's annual series of three publications is the *AAAS Science and Technology Policy Yearbook*. This book contains most of the major Colloquium addresses, as well as both original and previously published articles by leading figures in science and technology policy.

The third publication appears after Congress has completed its appropriations process. At that time, AAAS, in collaboration with the Intersociety Working Group, publishes its annual review of the impact of congressional decisions on R&D, *Congressional Action on Research and Development in the FY 2001 Budget*. These publications are supplemented by R&D Funding Updates on the AAAS R&D Web site ([www.aaas.org/spp/R&D](http://www.aaas.org/spp/R&D)), which provide regularly updated information on R&D in the budget between the publication of this report and the *Congressional Action* report.

The overall structure of this report parallels that of recent editions. Part I, the overview, includes discussions of R&D's place in the federal budget, the political context of FY 2001 R&D proposals, analysis of major funding trends for FY 2001 including outyear projections for R&D, and analyses of funding for basic research and R&D in colleges and universities. This year's special 25th anniversary edition includes a historical overview of recent trends in R&D funding. Chapters on R&D in industry and funding for science, engineering, and mathematics education are also included in Part I. Although neither of these latter topics is concerned strictly with R&D in the federal budget, each deals with closely related funding and policy matters that help define the context within which federal R&D is discussed and debated. A set of overview tables appears at the end of this section.

The chapters in Part II examine the proposed R&D budgets of major federal agencies and departments. Tables detailing those budgets and the budgets of several smaller agencies and departments not featured in the chapters are included at the end of Part II. Finally, Part III consists of a set of cross-cutting analyses that look at the budget in terms of disciplines and areas of research.

Readers should be aware that the chapters in this report have been prepared largely independently of one another and under extremely tight deadline pressure. Although every effort has been made to assure a high

quality product, some overlap and inconsistencies among the chapters are, unfortunately, inevitable.

On behalf of the members of the Intersociety Working Group, we would like to express our appreciation to the officers, members, and staffs of the participating organizations for their support and assistance in preparing this report—particularly those who facilitated our efforts to put this unruly, multiple-authored volume into a common format and single typeface. Our thanks also to the AAAS Committee on Science, Engineering and Public Policy, which initiated the R&D Program and periodically reviews it and provides guidance to it. We are very grateful to individuals in the Office of Management and Budget, other federal agencies, on congressional staffs, and elsewhere who aided us in collecting the information and advised us on its interpretation.

Albert H. Teich  
Kei Koizumi

Stephen D. Nelson  
Joanne P. Carney

April, 2000



# Committee on Science, Engineering and Public Policy

**Willie Pearson, Jr.** (2002)  
*Chair*  
Wake Forest University

**Jane Alexander** (2001)  
Defense Advanced Research Projects  
Agency

**Richard N. L. Andrews** (2003)  
University of North Carolina  
Chapel Hill

**Harry Armen** (2003)  
Northrop Grumman Corporation

**Robert E. Barnhill** (2002)  
University of Kansas

**Radford Byerly, Jr.** (2001)  
Boulder, Colorado

**Susan E. Cozzens** (2001)  
Georgia Institute of Technology

**Richard Florida** (2003)  
Carnegie Mellon University

**Kerri-Ann Jones** (2003)  
Chevy Chase, MD

**John McShefferty** (2001)  
Darnestown, MD

**David C. Mowery** (2003)  
University of California-Berkeley

**Robert J. Spinrad** (2002)  
Palo Alto, CA

**Lydia Villa-Komaroff** (2001)  
Northwestern University

**William T. Golden**  
(Board Representative)  
New York, NY

**Richard S. Nicholson**  
(Ex Officio) AAAS

**Stephen D. Nelson**  
(Staff Officer) AAAS

\*Terms expire on last day of Annual Meeting in year shown.

# General Notes

## **BASIS OF BUDGET STATISTICS**

Budget statistics are presented on three bases: (1) **budget authority**, corresponding to the funds appropriated each year; (2) **obligations**, indicating the amounts of contracts and grants entered into; and (3) **outlays**, representing the amounts actually expended (see Appendix 2: Definitions). Because budget decisions in the Executive Branch and in Congress are almost always made in budget authority, this metric most accurately reflects current changes in budget policies. Outlay trends lag a year or more behind decisions in budget authority, and obligations estimates are often adjusted to meet fiscal or budget strategy demands. We have, therefore, selected budget authority as the most meaningful measure of budget decisions and trends and used it in nearly all cases throughout the report.

## **BASIC RESEARCH, APPLIED RESEARCH, AND DEVELOPMENT**

The allocation of agency budgets among basic research, applied research, and development is not an exact procedure; a certain arbitrariness is inevitably involved (see Appendix 2: Definitions). The severe time pressures under which these figures are compiled for OMB are also a problem. Nevertheless, there is presumably some consistency within each agency's estimates so that the trends are meaningful.

## **ROUNDING NUMBERS**

Due to rounding in the tables, the detail may not add to the totals, and the percentage changes may not correspond exactly to the difference shown. Most figures are rounded to the nearest million; totals and percentage changes are calculated from unrounded figures. In the Overview and Agency Tables, subtotals are occasionally provided for additional detail. These subtotals are shown in italics to indicate that they do not add into the totals.

Please see Appendix 1: Methodology and Data Sources for more information.