December 7, 2012

President Barack Obama
The White House
United States of America

The Honorable Harry Reid
Senate Majority Leader
United States Senate

The Honorable Mitch McConnell
Senate Minority Leader
United States Senate

The Honorable John Boehner
Speaker of the House
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Nancy Pelosi
Minority Leader
U.S. House of Representatives

To the President and Leadership of the U.S. Congress:

As representatives of the major U.S. science, engineering, and higher education organizations, we write to you today on behalf of the hundreds of thousands of researchers and innovators that we represent to ask both branches of government to work together to achieve a bipartisan compromise that avoids the fiscal cliff and moves the country on to sound fiscal footing without sacrificing our nation’s crucial investments in science and technology. It is important to recognize that federal research and development (R&D) investments are not driving our national deficits. These investments account for less than one-fifth of the current discretionary budget, but discretionary spending is the only place where deep cuts will be made. Placing a significant burden on these crucial areas, as sequestration would do, is nothing less than a threat to national competitiveness. We recognize that the United States faces severe fiscal challenges, and we urge you to begin to address them through a balanced approach that includes tax and entitlement reform.

Economists know that more than half of all economic growth in the industrialized world since World War II has been driven by innovation and technological progress. Public research funding has helped plant the seeds that have spawned the Global Positioning System, the laser, Google, and countless other beneficial technologies in addition to medical advances that have helped save the lives of millions of heart disease, cancer and diabetes patients among others.

The United States today remains a world leader in science, technology and innovation. But certain long-term trends should give us pause. A common measure for comparing international competitiveness is research intensity, or research investment as a percentage of GDP. In recent years, countries such as South Korea, Taiwan, and China, along with select European economies like Germany and Finland, have all increased their research intensities substantially and at a far faster pace than the United States. The nation’s long-term leadership position in science, technology and innovation is now threatened and allowing blunt cuts to R&D to go forward will only accelerate these trends.
Almost every national priority—from health and defense, agriculture and conservation, to hazards and natural disasters—relies on science and engineering. Sequestration threatens all these priorities, by requiring up to $12 billion in R&D funding cuts annually across defense and nondefense programs over the next decade. The need for a technologically superior military remains clear in a dangerous world, but DARPA would lose over $1 billion for cutting-edge innovation in the next five years alone. Over the same time period, NIH would lose $11.3 billion for research on some of the nation’s most critical medical challenges including those related to cancer, obesity, aging, and emerging diseases. The Department of Energy would lose $4.6 billion through 2017 for next-generation energy research and nonproliferation R&D. The National Science Foundation would lose $2.1 billion over five years for research across a broad spectrum of disciplines, most of which is cutting-edge research conducted at universities throughout our nation.

What is needed is a balanced approach to deficit reduction that does not simply take an axe to discretionary federal programs without also considering the contributions of tax revenue solutions and entitlement reform in addressing the federal deficit. There have been many bipartisan commission proposals that have recommended such strategies, and we urge you to come together on just such a balanced solution. Federal nondefense R&D funding has already declined by 5% in the past two years, after remaining flat for the past decade, and continued cuts significantly threaten U.S. leadership in these areas. Our message is that a balanced plan must be one of shared contributions to a sound fiscal future, including strong support for our nation’s science and technology enterprise.

We collectively and individually stand ready to help in any way we can as you tackle these vital issues.

Sincerely,
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