

Navigating A Complex Landscape To Foster Greater Faculty and Student Diversity In Higher Education

The Law Governing Effective Faculty and Student Body Diversity Programs in STEM and Related Disciplines...and Its Implications for Institutional Policy

American Association for the Advancement of Science

with participation by the **Association of American Universities**



Permission to publish or otherwise reproduce the logo of the Association of American Universities (AAU) is granted on a one-time basis only for the purpose of the use on the front of the report “Navigating a Complex Landscape to Foster Greater Faculty and Student Diversity in Higher Education.” Usage of the AAU logo for any other purpose related to this project must be given separately. Usage rights are not transferable from user-borrower to another user. User shall not add to, subtract from, colorize, pixelate or otherwise alter AAU’s logo without the written consent of John Vaughn or Miranda Young. With respect to color, the logo may be only be used in three color variations: black and white, grayscale, or the original blue (AAU blue) that it is transmitted in. Resizing does not require written permission.

Primary Authors and Project Counsel

Robert Burgoyne, Theodore M. Shaw, Ralph C. Dawson, and Rena Scheinkman,
Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P.

and

Arthur L. Coleman (also *Chair, Expert Legal Advisory Board and Co-Editor*), **Steven Y. Winnick, Jennifer Rippner, and Scott R. Palmer,** EducationCounsel LLC*

Co-Project Director, Editor, and Author

Jamie Lewis Keith, Vice President and General Counsel, University of Florida, Member,
AAAS Capacity Center Advisory Board, AAU General Counsels Group*

Co-Project Director and Contributor

Dr. Daryl E. Chubin, Founding Director, Center for Advancing Science & Engineering
Capacity, American Association for the Advancement of Science

Co-Project Director and Contributor

Dr. Shirley M. Malcom, Head of Education and Human Resources Programs, American
Association for the Advancement of Science

Project Liaison

Dr. John Vaughn, Executive Vice President, Association of American Universities

These materials were presented at a workshop hosted by the American Association for the Advancement of Science with participation by the Association for American Universities, held in October 2009, in Washington, D.C.

*The research and editing assistance of Elizabeth Hutton and Allison Crawford of Nelson, Mullins, Riley & Scarborough (which is affiliated with EducationCounsel) and the contribution to neutral alternatives of Dr. Paul J. D'Anieri, Dean of the University of Florida College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, are gratefully acknowledged.

Project Funding Provided By:

The Alfred P. Sloan Foundation

Supplementary Project Funding Provided By:

The National Science Foundation

The American Association for the Advancement of Science gratefully acknowledges the support of these sponsors of Phase I of this project.

These materials represent the views and analyses of the authors and contributors, and do not necessarily represent the views or analyses of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, the Association of American Universities, the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the National Science Foundation, the University of Florida, or any participating institution or representative attending any related workshop or contributing to the project.

The report is available free as a PDF from www.aascapacity.org.
(Direct Link: <http://php.aaas.org/programs/centers/capacity/publications/complexlandscape>)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME I

I.	PREFACE AND PROJECT OVERVIEW	1
II.	HISTORICAL CONTEXT	7
III.	THE COMPELLING CASE FOR DIVERSITY	11
A.	The Diversity Imperative in 21 st Century STEM Education	11
1.	Background	11
2.	Diversity and STEM Education	12
a.	Educational and Civic Outcomes	12
b.	Economic and National Security Outcomes	15
c.	Conclusion: Benefits to All	15
3.	The Challenges We Face	15
4.	Moving Forward	20
IV.	KEY DEFINITIONS	23
A.	Race-, Ethnicity-, and Gender-Conscious, -Exclusive and –Neutral Policies	23
B.	Intentional and Impact Discrimination	24
1.	Intentional discrimination or Disparate Treatment	24
2.	Disparate impact	24
C.	Strict, Intermediate and Rational Basis Scrutiny	24
1.	Strict scrutiny	24
a.	A compelling interest	24
b.	Narrow tailoring	25
2.	Intermediate scrutiny	25
3.	Rational basis scrutiny	25
D.	Diversity Interests	26
1.	Diversity	26
E.	Remedial Interests	26
F.	Critical Mass and Racial, Ethnic, or Gender Balancing	27
1.	Critical mass	27
2.	Racial, ethnic or gender balancing	27

G.	Underrepresented Students.....	28
H.	Individualized, Holistic Review	28
I.	Inclusive Conduct and Multi-cultural Skills	29
V.	RACE- AND GENDER-NEUTRAL ALTERNATIVES.....	31
A.	Neutral Alternatives In General	31
B.	Inclusive Conduct and Multi-cultural Skills as a Criterion.....	32
1.	Definition and Use of Inclusive Conduct and Multi-cultural Skills Criterion.....	32
2.	Relevance to Institutional and Academic Unit Mission and Goals and Value of Inclusive Conduct and Multi-cultural Skills.	33
C.	Low Socio-economic Background/First In Family To Four-Year College As A Criterion	34
1.	Definition of Low Socio-economic Status and Uses as a Criterion.....	34
2.	Relevance to Institutional and Academic Unit Mission and Goals and Value of Socio-economic Status	35
D.	How Inclusive Conduct And Multi-cultural Skills And/Or Socio- Economic Status Are Considered With Other Eligibility Considerations	35
1.	Significance of Institutional Resources Committed	36
2.	Assessment of Impact	36
3.	Commentary and Examples	36
4.	Examples of How Inclusive Conduct and Multicultural Skills and Low Socio-economic Status May be Used in Diversity Efforts.....	38
a.	Advertisement, Interview and Evaluative Questions	38
b.	Target of Opportunity Funding and Positions For Those Having Strong Records of Inclusive Conduct and Multi- cultural Skills.....	39
c.	Research Funding.....	40
d.	Other Programs.....	40
E.	Diversity Considerations, the First Amendment and Academic Freedom.....	41
VI.	RELEVANT STATE LAWS: VOTER INITIATIVES AND ADMINISTRATIVE RULES.....	43
VII.	LEGAL ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH STUDENT DIVERSITY (Some duplication of material in the student and faculty diversity sections exists so that each section may stand alone as a resource.).....	45
A.	Overview of Governing Legal Principles.....	45
1.	Equal Protection Clause (U.S. Constitution, 14 th Amendment)	45

2.	Title VI (42 U.S.C. § 2000d)	46
3.	Title IX (20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1688)	51
B.	The Importance of Mission Alignment	53
C.	Issues Related to Enrollment	56
1.	In General.....	56
2.	Admissions.....	57
3.	Financial Aid and Scholarships	59
a.	Financial Aid for Disadvantaged Students	61
b.	Financial Aid Authorized by Congress	62
c.	Financial Aid to Remedy Past Discrimination	62
d.	Financial Aid to Create Diversity	63
4.	Outreach and Recruitment	64
5.	Student Mentoring, Retention, and Enrichment	65
D.	Student-Related Policy and Program Principles and Program Illustrations.....	66
1.	Key Elements of Legally Sustainable Policies and Programs	66
a.	Relationship to Institutional Mission	67
b.	Minimal Adverse Impact of Eligibility Criteria	67
c.	Assessment of Effect	68
2.	Illustrative Program Examples.....	69
a.	Holistic Admissions Program.....	69
b.	Introductory or Preview Minority Weekend	71
c.	Bridge/Mentoring/Scholarship Programs	72
d.	Summer Research Program (University or Industry).....	74
e.	Partner Schools for Undergraduate and Graduate Program Preparedness and Recruitment	75
VIII.	LEGAL ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH FACULTY DIVERSITY (Some duplication of material in the student and faculty diversity sections exists so that each section may stand alone as a resource.).....	77
A.	Overview of Governing Legal Principles.....	77
1.	Equal Protection Clause (U.S. Constitution, 14 th Amendment)	77
a.	Race: Strict Scrutiny Analysis.....	78
b.	Gender: Intermediate Scrutiny Analysis	80
2.	Title VI (42 U.S.C. § 2000d)	81

3.	Title VII (42 U.S.C. § 2000e)	83
4.	Title IX (20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1688).....	95
5.	Executive Orders 11246 and 11375 and the OFCCP.....	96
6.	Conclusion and Analysis.....	98
B.	Extending the <i>Grutter</i> Rationale to Faculty Hiring.....	101
C.	Reasonable Affirmative Action Under Title VII, OFCCP and Title VI/Equal Protection.....	104
D.	Post-Doctoral Fellows	105
E.	Policy and Program Principles and Illustrations	111
1.	In General: Importance of Relationship to Mission.....	112
2.	Specific Program Examples.....	112
a.	Awareness-Raising and Monitoring Programs.....	112
b.	Race and Gender-Targeted Outreach and Barrier Removal Efforts	114
c.	Affirmative Action To Address Underutilization or a Manifest Imbalance in the Faculty Workforce, To Remedy Present Effects of Institution's Own Prior Discrimination, or to Address a Pipeline Problem Where a Compelling Need Exists.....	120
d.	Inclusive Conduct and Multi-cultural Skills and Other Race and Gender-Neutral Approaches	125
3.	Assessment of Impact	125
IX.	AVAILABILITY OF BROADER DISCRETION WHEN JUSTIFIED.....	127
A.	Congress' Broader 14 th Amendment, Section 5 Authority	127
B.	Private Foundations.....	128
X.	ENFORCEMENT	131
A.	U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights Under Title VI and Title IX: Educational Programs and Employment	131
B.	Private Rights of Action Under Titles VI and IX: Educational Programs and Employment.....	133
C.	U.S. Department of Justice Under Title VI and Title IX: Educational Programs and Employment	135
D.	Equal Employment Opportunity Commission: Employment	135
E.	Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs: Employment	136

VOLUME II

APPENDIX I: Relevant Constitutional, Statutory and Regulatory Authority 137

1.	Equal Protection Clause.....	137
2.	Civil Rights Restoration Act.....	137
3.	Title VI.....	138
4.	Title VII.....	142
5.	Title IX	146
6.	Section 1981	149
7.	Section 1983	149
8.	Section 1985(3)	149
9.	Section 1988.....	150
10.	Federal Executive Orders	150
11.	State Laws	153

APPENDIX II: Key Legal Opinions Involving Student Diversity..... 155

1.	<i>Grutter v. Bollinger</i> and <i>Gratz v. Bollinger</i>	155
2.	<i>Regents of the Univ. of California v. Bakke</i>	157
3.	<i>Smith v. Univ. of Washington Law School</i>	158
4.	<i>Podberesky v. Kirwan</i>	160
5.	<i>Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School Dist. No. 1</i>	161

APPENDIX III: Key Legal Opinions Involving Employment..... 165

1.	Consideration of Race to Remedy Prior Discrimination	165
A.	<i>Wygant v. Jackson Bd. of Education</i>	165
B.	<i>City of Richmond v. J.A. Croson Co.</i>	167
C.	<i>Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Pena</i>	169
D.	<i>Rothe Dev. Corp. v. Dep't of Defense</i>	171
E.	<i>Sherbrooke Turf, inc. v. Minnesota Dep't of Transportation</i>	172
F.	<i>Hayden v. County of Nassau</i>	172
2.	Consideration of Race to Address a Manifest Imbalance in Applicable Workforce.....	173
A.	<i>Steelworkers v. Weber</i>	173
B.	<i>Johnson v. Transportation Agency, Santa Clara County</i>	174
C.	<i>Humphries v. Pulaski County Special School District</i>	176
D.	<i>Rudin v. Lincoln Land Comm. College</i>	177

E.	<i>Rudebusch v. Hughes</i>	178
F	<i>Maitland v. Univ. of Minnesota</i>	178
G.	<i>Smith v. Virginia Commonwealth University</i>	179
H.	<i>Honadle v. University of Vermont & State Agricultural College</i>	180
I.	<i>Sharkey v. Dixie Elec. Membership Corp.</i>	181
J.	<i>Schurr v. Resorts International</i>	182
3.	Consideration of Race to Obtain Greater Employee Diversity.....	182
A.	Diversity in the Education Context	182
i.	<i>Taxman v. Bd. of Ed. of the Township of Piscataway</i>	182
ii.	<i>Hill v. Ross</i>	186
iii.	<i>Univ. and Comm. College Sys. of Nevada v. Farmer</i>	186
iv.	<i>Jacobson v. Cincinnati Bd. of Education</i>	187
v.	<i>Zaslowsky v. Bd. of Education</i>	187
B.	Diversity in Other Context	188
i.	<i>Lomack v. City of Newark</i>	188
ii.	<i>Petit v. City of Chicago</i>	189
iii.	<i>Klawitter v. City of Trenton</i>	189
4.	Consideration of Race in Outreach Efforts.....	190
A.	<i>Shuford v. Alabama State Bd. of Education</i>	190
B.	<i>Duffy v. Wolle</i>	191
C.	<i>Sussman v. Tanoue</i>	192
D.	<i>MD/DC/DE Broadcasters Ass'n v. FCC</i>	193
E.	<i>Hammer v. Ashcroft</i>	193
5.	Consideration of Race to Avoid Disparate Impact Liability	194
A.	<i>Ricci v. Destefano</i>	194
APPENDIX IV: Diversity Considerations as They Relate to the First Amendment and Academic Freedom..... 199		
1.	Academic Freedom and Responsibility	200
2.	First Amendment Protections	201
3.	General First Amendment Concepts.....	204
4.	First Amendment and Employee Speech	206
5.	The University Employer and Faculty Speech.....	209
6.	The University Employer and Faculty Conduct.....	212

7.	Conclusion.....	213
APPENDIX V: Additional Resource Materials.....		215
1.	Other Legal Resources.....	215
2.	Books	216
3.	Reports and Journal Articles.....	217
4.	Law Review Articles.....	219
5.	NACUA Materials.....	220
6.	Literature on Exclusion of Girls, Women, and Minorities from STEM Education	220
7.	Data/Research.....	223
8.	Articles Relating to States/Universities.....	224
APPENDIX VI: Government, Diversity-Related, Journal, Media, and Other Websites.....		227
1.	Government Websites.....	227
2.	Diversity-Related Websites	227
3.	Journal and Media Websites.....	228
4.	Other Websites	228
APPENDIX VII: Policy Papers, Op Ed Process, and Miscellaneous Publications		231
APPENDIX VIII: Professional Associations that Facilitate Recruitment in STEM Disciplines, and Sample Institutional Outreach Plan Form		233
1.	Professional Associations that Facilitate Student Faculty Recruitment	233
2.	Sample Institutional Outreach Plan Form.....	235
APPENDIX IX: Testimonials from U.S. Leaders in Support of Diversity on STEM Fields		237